Ni-Catalyzed Homocoupling of 3-Halopropenoates in the Presence of Water: Formation of Hexenedioates

Martin Kotora,* Hiroshi Matsumura, and Tamotsu Takahashi*

Catalysis Research Center and Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University and CREST, Science and Technology Corporation (JST), Sapporo 060-0811

(Received September 16, 1999; CL-990794)

Homocoupling of (*Z*)-3-halopropenoates using a catalytic amount of NiCl₂ and Zn in the presence of water in pyridine afforded a mixture of (*Z*)- and (*E*)-3-hexenedioates.

Homocoupling of organic halides is a well-established route for the preparation of various symmetrical compounds and is mediated or catalyzed in the presence of a reductant by a number of transition metals such as Pd, Ni, etc.¹ Dimerization of 3-halopropenoic acids and their derivatives is of interest, because the corresponding hexadienedioic acid derivatives are biologically active compounds.^{2,3} So far, three methods have been reported. The first two dimerization methods used stoichiometric amounts of $Ni(COD)$, in DMF⁴ or copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate⁵ to give hexadienedioates with retention of the stereochemistry of the double bond. The third method was carried out with 40 mol% of NiCl₂ and Zn as a reductant in HMPA; however, in this case a loss of stereochemistry was observed.6 Nonetheless, a truly catalytic method for dimerization of these compounds has not been developed yet. In this regard, a potentially useful catalyst seemed to be $NiCl₂(PPh₃)₂$ which was successfully used in another case involving halopropenoates.⁷

During the course of our study on Ni-catalyzed reactions of halopropenoates, we found that when homocoupling of **1** was carried out in pyridine in the presence of $H₂O$ and a catalytic amount of NiCl₂·6H₂O, the products were hexenedioates 2 but not hexadienedioates (Eq. 1). Moreover, the yield of this reaction depended on halogen in the starting material: thus, **1a** afforded **2** in 5%, **1b** in 50 (41% isol.), and **1c** in 80 (66% isol.) yield, respectively. This reaction also proceeded in the case of (*E*)-3-chloropropenoates (**3**) (35% yield) (Eq. 2). When the reaction was conducted with $D₂O$, the corresponding deuterated product **4** was obtained (Eq. 3).

This was a quite unexpected result, and we set out to find the reaction mechanism to explain the formation of **2**. It was clear that homocoupling products of 3-halopropenoates were formed in the first step. However, in order to verify the homocoupling of 3-halopropenoates, we carried out the following catalytic reactions, since truely catalytic dimerization reaction of 3-halopropenoates has not been known yet as described above.

Homocoupling of alkyl (*Z*)-3-halopropenoates in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)$, (10 mol%), Zn (1.5 equiv) in polar solvents proceeded with good selectivity to afford hexadienedioates **5-7** (Scheme 1 and Table 1).

Generally, the homocoupling proceeded in the several solvents studied; however, it was sensitive to the reaction conditions as well as to the quality of Zn. Thus, coupling of **1d** in the presence of Zn that was left exposed to air for a longer period of time (Entry 2) afforded substantial amount of isomerized products $6b + 7b$. On the other hand, the use of freshly obtained Zn powder (Entry 1) resulted in the clean formation of **5a**. Concerning reactions in THF, remarkable improvement in yields was observed after treatment of the Zn suspension in THF with TMSCl (0.2 equiv) (Entries 5 and 6), although the formation of isomerized products **6b**+**7b** was observed. Homocoupling of **1e** also proceeded smoothly (Entry 6) and afforded the corresponding product **5c** in 99% yield. (*E*)-3- Iodopropenoate **3b** showed the same reactivity as Z isomers as

Table 1. Ni-catalyzed homocoupling of (Z)-3-iodopropenoates.

	Entry 1 Solvent t/h		Yield /% ^a	Combined yield ^b
			$3 + 4$ 2	
1.	1a MeCN	- 3	5a, 82 (52) 6a+7a, $(21)^c$	82
	2 1d MeCN	6	5b, 53 (24) 6b+7b, 45 (10)	97
	3 1d DMPU	3	5b, 78 (50) 6b+7b, $-$ (3) ^c	78
	4 1d THF	$\overline{2}$	5b, 53 (20) 6b+7b, 15 (47) ^c	68
	5 1a THF ^d		1.5 5a, 62 (34) $6a+7a$, 36 (26)	98
6.	1c THF ^d		1.5 5c, 99 (62) 7c, $(3)^{c}$	99

a¹H NMR yields. Isolated yields are in parentheses. ^bCombined ¹H NMR yields. ^cFormed by isomerization of 5. ^dSuspension of Zn was stirred with 0.2 equiv of TMSCI in THF for 1 h prior to the addition of other reactants.

shown in eq (4). It is notable that only **7a** was obtained in 68% NMR yield in the case of the E isomer **3b**. Interestingly, although the analysis of the reaction mixture often indicated that only one isomer was formed, after the work-up isomerized products were isolated in considerably high yields. Obviously, the isomerization occurred during both work-up and purification on silica gel.

The origin of the reduction to **2** in the second step was puzzling. To clarify this point, we conducted a number of reactions of **5b** with different combinations of the reactants used in the above-mentioned reaction. We found that **5b** was quantitatively reduced to **2c,d** in pyridine in the presence of zinc halide (1 equiv), Zn-powder (1 equiv), water (2 equiv), in just 1h (Eq. 5). This clearly indicated that this reaction was a "dissolving metal" reduction.8 Reduction of activated double bonds under these conditions has been reported.⁹

Thus, the overall course of the homocoupling followed by the reduction (Scheme 2) is as follows: Zn reduces a nickel catalyst to a Ni0-species to which oxidative addition of (*Z*)-3-halopropenoate proceeds to give organo halonickel species **8** that undergoes disproportionation¹⁰ to a nickel halide and a diorgano nickel compound **9** that, in turn, undergoes reductive elimination to give a $Ni⁰$ -species and the homocoupling products **5**, **6**, and **7**. In the next phase the product is reduced by metallic zinc (dissolving metal mechanism) to give **2**. The necessary zinc halide is formed in the reduction of the Ni-catalyst.

Scheme 2

References

- 1 For examples see: "Comprehensive Organic Synthesis," ed by B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford (1991), Vol. 3.
- 2 M. R. Parsek, R. W. Ye, P. Pun, and A. M. Chakrabarty, *J. Biol. Chem.,* **269**, 11279 (1994).
- 3 T. Hida, S. Tsubotani, N. Katayama, Y. Funabashi, H. Natsugari, and S. Harada, *J. Antibiot.*, **46**, 803 (1993).
- 4 M. F. Semmelhack, P. Helquist, L. D. Jones, L. Ketter, L. Mendelson, L. S. Ryono, J. Gorzynski Smith, and R. D Stauffer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **103**, 6460 (1981).
- 5 S. Zhang, D. Zhang, and L. S. Liebeskind, *J. Org. Chem.*, **62**, 2312 (1997).
- 6 K. Takagi and N. Hayama, *Chem. Lett.,* **1983**, 637.
- 7 M. Kotora, M. Ishikawa, F.-Y. Tsai, and T. Takahashi, *Tetrahedron*, **55**, 4969 (1997).
- 8 D. J. Pasto in "Comprehensive Organic Synthesis," ed by B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford (1991), Vol. 8, p. 478.
- 9 a) F. Toda and K. Iida, *Chem. Lett.,* **1976**, 695. b) K. Ohkata and T. Hanafusa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn*., **43**, 2204 (1970)
- 10 K. Osakada and T. Yamamoto, *J. Synth. Org. Chem.*, **57**, 305 (1999).